UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/559,835	03/08/2006	Takehisa Matsuda	2005_1807A	7978
	7590 02/07/200 I, LIND & PONACK, I	EXAMINER		
2033 K STREET N. W.			LEAVITT, MARIA GOMEZ	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1633	
			MAN BATE	DEL IVERY MORE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/559,835	MATSUDA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Maria Leavitt	1633				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 No	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 November 2007</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.	•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. \						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1633

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group II drawn to claims 14 and 18-29, and elected following species, without traverse, xi) plasmid is acknowledged. However, Applicant has failed to elect one specific nucleotide sequence as required in the restriction requirements filed on 10-03-2007. Hence a further restriction is required from the following Groups:

Compositions and methods which involve a base sequence represented by SEQ ID No: 1 or SEQ ID No: 2, which are each distinct nucleic acid coding sequences which encode specific and unique polypeptides. As such, each nucleic acid does not overlap in scope with the others, are not obvious variants, and have materially different functions. Therefore, the search for each nucleic acid sequence is not co-extensive and it would place an undue burden on the examiner to search and examine all of these inventions together. Applicants must elect one specific nucleotide SEQ ID NO encoding a corresponding polypeptide sequence.

Applicant's amendment filed on 11-06-2007, which added new claims 18-29, necessitates the following supplemental restriction requirement which is applied to the elected invention of Group II drawn to claims 14 and 18-29, and elected species, plasmid.

Species restriction

A species restriction is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121. The presently pending claims are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising:

1) an epithelial cell of the oral mucosa, a skin cell or a fibroblast, as recited in claim 18.

The species are independent or distinct because there are **cells** having different chemical structures, physical properties, and biological functions as the result of comprising different encoding genes. For example, a fibroblast is most commonly found in connective tissue, whereas epithelial cells are most commonly found in epithelial tissue.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claim 14 is generic.

2) deno-associated virus (AAV), retrovirus, poxvirus, herpes virus, herpes simplex virus, lentivirus (HIV), Sendai virus, Epstein-Barr virus (EBV), vaccinia virus, polio virus, sindbis virus, SV40 or plasmid, as recited in claim 23.

The species are independent or distinct because there are **recombinant expression vectors** having different chemical structures, physical properties, and biological functions as the result of comprising different encoding genes. For example, use of retroviruses in therapy treatment exhibit risks, as insertion of retroviral genes into the host genome may occur at random locations, in contrast adenoviruses are used for localized *in vivo* treatment because avoid the risk associated with permanently altering the host cell genotype or promoting insertional mutagenesis. Thus, the combined features of a particular species, distinct structurally and functionally, would not necessarily overlap with one another when a prior art search is conducted.

10/559,835 Art Unit: 1633

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claim 14 is generic.

3) ovarian cancer, pancreatic cancer, stomach cancer, gall bladder cancer, kidney cancer, prostate cancer, breast cancer, esophageal cancer, liver cancer, oral cavity cancer, colon cancer, large intestine cancer, sarcoma, glioma or melanoma, as recited in claim 28.

The species are independent or distinct because there are **diseases** having different chemical structures, physical properties, and biological functions as the result of comprising different encoding genes.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claim 14 is generic.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claims 1, 20, 31 and 39 are generic.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

10/559,835 Art Unit: 1633

Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be *bona fide*, applicant is given **ONE** (1) **MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment.

EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maria Leavitt whose telephone number is 571-272-1085. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Woitach, Ph.D can be reached on (571) 272-0739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 1633; Central Fax No. (571) 273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

Maria Leavitt, PhD Patent Examiner P/1633 Remsen 2B55 Application/Control Number:

10/559,835 Art Unit: 1633 Page 7

Phone: 571-272-1085

-)al Worler Au 1633